Mr. Kiddie has since been replaced by Linda L. Myler, Product Manager-Operator Services, who reports to Doug Coutee, Lead Product Manager, BellSouth.

I called Linda Feb. 27 to order my tape and "CRIS" list for the May issue of our Forsyth-Monroe County directory. She informed me that she can no longer provide me the in-county "CRIS" listings. She referred me to Mr. Coutee, and said he was awaiting my call at 205-977-7902. I asked Linda to FAX or send me confirmation to deny me these listings in writing; however, she said she needed to talk to Mr. Coutee first.

This action by BellSouth jeopardizes the timeliness accuracy and completeness of my directories, and is another example of an arbitrary anti-competitive practice by BellSouth.

Enclosed are copies of pages from the "CRIS" report for Forsyth-Monroe County for 1995 and 1996. Bill, I would greatly appreciate your advice. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Wilson Sims



April 13, 1995

675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Mr. Wilson Sims Southern Directory Publishing P. O. Box 914 Forsyth, GA 31029

Dear Mr. Sims:

As of 10:00 a.m., Monday, April 10, 1995, I was able to comply with your order for our report RQ09BHI, county-wide toll-free calling listings. As you indicated, this had been provided to you for some time. A thorough search of our records reveals it has been provided, but not billed. We will be happy to continue to provide the service, but the current request, and future requests, will be billed at the rate for Directory Publisher Database Service. This is currently \$.04 per listing, with a \$100.00 processing fee for each list ordered.

We apologize for the confusion regarding your order, and any inconvevience it may have caused you. We value you as a customer and look forward to filling your future publishing needs.

Please call me at (404) 529-0742 if I may be of further service.

Sincerely,

J. Sanford Middie

Manager-Directory Publisher Relations

JOB/FGM RQG9BHI/RQG9BHI/ RAO MACON - DPC JES18754 04/18/95

MONROE COUNTY LISTING REPORT

PAGE 0001

	ACCOUNT	RES/BUS	FID	EISTING
•	404 358-1733	168 ⊀ ⊀ R	ĻŅ	AVAGHAV GAA F
	404 467-8420	042 💥 R	LA LN LA LOC	1162 BRENT RD ELLIOTY, PATRICIA 3 DOGWOOD CIR DES (175 TO EXIT 65 GO THRONHI FALLS ST PRK TK 1ST PVD RD\2 L CROSS BRDGE OV LK
_	404 467-8507	523 R	203 AHN LN LA LOC	30233 509 POSS, HAROLD E I EYYA JO
•	404 467-8526	030 R	AL LH LA	DES (FH LARRYS BAIT GO N CRS\BRDG FLW S CRV UP HILL LFT ON\1ST PVD RD 1ST HS ON (A) (NON-LIST) POSS, ETTA\JO & HAROLD E PEACOCK, D J 132 ANTONY DR
•	409 467-8724	911 R	LOC LN LA	DES (FM LARRYS BAIT GO N CRSS\BRDG FLW S CURV UPL 2 ANTONY\DR IST HS ON L) REVELL, TERESIA I19 ANGIE LN
	404 467-8725	318 R	203 LN LA	30233 BOGER, DAN 178 ANTONYDR DES (FM LARRY BAIT GO N CRSS/BRDG FLLW S CURVE CO UP HILL/MK L ON N 1ST ON PVD R
•	404 467-8932	919 R	LOC LN LA LOC	DES (175 EXT 65 THRO HI FLS\DAM IST L PST BUCK CRK BRDG\ON HILL ON LAMAR-HONROE)
;	404 504-0427	456 ₹ ★ R	AHN LN LA LOC	DES (179 ER) OF TRICH TO FLOW THE FOR
	404 504-1064	611 R	AHN LN LA	2104 BLESSITT, HOWARD & DOROTHY B LASSITER RD, FORS DES (TK 83 HWY TO 87 HWY MK/LFT APRX 2HLS LASSITER RD MK/LFT 2 1-2 HLS GREY & BL)
.	404 504-1461	151 R	LOC AHN LN LOC 203	DES (1K 83 HWY TO 87 HWY MKYLFT APRX 2HLS LASSITER RD MKYLFT 2 1-2 HLS GREY & BL 27 CAMERON, M M DES (FM FORSYTH TK HWY83E TRN/LFT HWY 87M 2HI 2ND RD ON LYTRN LFT 3HI PROP ON RI 31029
•	404 504-8640	501 R	AHN ROUT LN LA	31029 30 1/BDX 578-A BAUGHCUM, EUGENE & FAYE A LASSITER RD, FORSYTH DES (HWY 83 TO HHY 87 TN LF\LASSITER 2 HILE HSE ON RT)
•			LOC 203 AL AHN	DES 14WY 65 TO HMY 87 IN LPYLASSITER 2 MILE HSE ON RIT \$1029 (A) (MON-LIST) BAUGHCUM, FAYEN& EUGENE 31

Note,
Location
nfumution tells
the phone co
exactly how to
reven the

N O T I C E : NOT FOR USE OR DISCLOSURE OUTSIDE BELLSOUTH EXCEPT UNDER WRITTEN AGREEMENT

_11

ΣÜ

MONROE COUNTY LISTING REPORT

				transfer and the whole of the said
	ACCOUNT	RES/BUS	FID	LISTING
•			LA LOC	a WATSON RD, FORS DES (N ON 83 TO 87 IRN LF TO\WATSON RD TK LFT TRLR GRAY\3RD DRVWY O N LFT)
•	404 775-7001	440 ¥ ★ R	ÁHN LXI LÁ 203	7 BROOKS, DENRIS Q OLD CABINESS RD, FORS 31029
•	404 775-7174	030 R	AHN LN LA LOC	ETHER STATE OF THE
	404 775-7206	9 5 5 R	AHN LN LA LOC	4 PART BY BY BY BY TOWARD JULIETTE ABT 13M TAKE R 1ST HSE ON L)
•	404 775-7655	156 R	AHH LN LA LOC	ESTES, JEWELL A HACON HWY, FORS DES (FH GRIF THRU JKSN-HWY SP\LIT 87223 IONI XBRDGE I 1-2\MI MLBOX 610 DRWY L YE
٥	404 775-7738	251 R	AHN LN LA LOC	2804 CRAIG, HICHAEL A 2 HIGHWAY 87, FORS DES (TK HMY 87 THRU JKSN GON18-20 HI GRAY FRAHE HS ON RTNBY 775-7458)
•	912 471-0007	642 / / R	AHN LN LA LOC	GREENE, DAVID Q THE RD, JULIETTE DES (1ST EXIT PST ARKURIGHT TAN R 2MI CO PST COUNTRY CLUBATRA L ON NESLYN GO 2
•	912 471-0045	617 R	AHN LN LA	55 MONAGHAN, S 6069 DAMÉS FERRY RO. JULIETTE
•	912 471-0081	742 R	LOC LN LA	DES (INTS RVRSDE DR EX 60 R CONTO HWY16 T R DAMES FERRY RDN2HD DW CM R) BRINKLEY, RICHARD P 1811 PATE RD, JULIETTE DES (TK 175 N FR HCN TO PAJENRO T R ON PAJE 1 M BRK HSE ONNR)
	912 471-0164	400 R	LOC LN LOC	BUSIOS, SHELIA H PEA RIDGE RD, JULIETTE DES (FH HCN T HMY 87 T 1 ON O\EA RDG R 3H PASS MONROE CTY\LINE T L 2 ND HSE ON L
•	912 471-0175	606 R	Albi LN	64 BAXTER, GEORGE 171 TREY TER
•	912 471-0192	926 R	LÅ En	VENDITTO, DAVID A
	912 471-0239	853 R	LA LN LA	106 DUNBÅRTÖN DR, HCN FULKS, R I 1811 PATE RD, JULIETTE

N O T I C E : NOT FOR USE OR DISCLOSURE OUTSIDE BELLSOUTH EXCEPT UNDER WRITTEN AGREEMENT

JOB/PCH RQ098HI/RQ098HI RAO HACON -DPC JES18754 04/18/95

PAGE 0009

MONROE COUNTY LISTING REPORT

ACCOUNT RES/BUS FID LISTING

LOC DES (BOLINGBK EXT GO TUR/BOLINGBK LY "OR 175 SIGN CRS/OVR 175 PATE RD 2 & 3 MILS

.

•



initial contact

October 16, 1996

EXAMPLE

Mike Staudt

Choctaw Telephone Co.

PO Box 82

HAlltown, MO 65664

Dear Mr. Staudt:

As you know the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act") brought many new ideas and requirements to the telecommunications industry. McLeodUSA *USA Publishing Company hopes to work together with telecommunications carriers to develop practices and procedures to comply with the Act. We are contacting carriers to determine the rates, terms and conditions under which you would provide subscriber list information pursuant to the Act, in hopes of reaching an agreement between our companies.

McLeodUSA will soon be preparing to publish a directory which will cover all or part of your service area. We plan to publish a product which will be useful and helpful to your subscribers and beneficial to businesses in your area. In order to insure accurate publication and distribution of our directory we would like to make arrangements to receive your subscriber list information for certain selected exchanges, including the following specific information for each subscriber:

(1) name, (2) address, (3) phone numbers, (4) record type, (5) Tel-No-Phrase indicators, (6) indents and indent levels, and, (7) primary advertising classification, if assigned.

It may be possible for us to make arrangements for your directory publisher to actually deliver the information, which frequently reduces the burden and cost of providing subscriber list information, as required by the Act. We would be glad to discuss any possible option with you.

If we reach an agreement for the provision of subscriber list information, our White Page Department Manager, Stacey Stuefen, would contact your company with a more specific request and other details when the information is needed.

In addition we are interested in obtaining any information you have on business new installs and possibly developing an agreement for receiving such information on a regular on-going basis.

We feel the requirements of the Act have changed the market for subscriber listings. There previously was no specific statutory requirement to provide listings stated in the Communications Act and many existing agreements were developed when telephone carriers had most, if not all, of the bargaining power. We believe the new, clear, statutory requirement has changed the relative bargaining positions. The Act provides that "subscriber list information" be provided "on a timely and unbundled basis, under nondiscriminatory and reasonable rates, terms and conditions." We have enclosed a copy of the relevant portion of the Act for your convenience. The Congressional Record indicates that "reasonable rates" are to be based on the actual or incremental cost of providing listing information.

We believe agreements developed before the Act could not have been designed to comply with a statutory requirement not yet in existence, so we feel a new agreement is necessary. I have prepared a new proposal for us to obtain your subscriber list information, a copy of which is enclosed for your. We proposed paying five cents per listing because it includes a margin over and above our top incremental cost estimate. This proposal is based on the very limited information we have available on the cost of delivering listings. I remain open to consider other cost information.

Due to the requirements of our production schedule, we would like to receive either a signed copy of our proposed agreement or some other written response, within two weeks after the date of this letter. If you have any questions or wish to discuss the matter before then please contact me. We look forward to working with you.

Very Truly Yours,

Randall Rings
General Counsel

RR/bv

enc: 702e & agrmt

i:rer/witepags/corresp/blklt/2

McLeodUSA Publishing Company

follow-up

November 6, 1996

Kenneth Knuth
Woodstock Pelephone Co
PO Box C
Ruthton, MN 56170

RE: Subscriber list information

Dear Mr. Knuth:

A few weeks ago we contacted you regarding the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act"). In particular, we sought to develop an agreement on the rates, terms and conditions under which you would provide subscriber list information to us. We proposed an agreement at the time of my previous letter and also included a copy of the relevant portion of the Act. Additional copies of those documents are enclosed. I requested the return of a signed agreement or other written response within two weeks. We are now beyond the two week time frame we suggested and we have not heard from you.

EXAMPLE

We have no way of determining why you have not responded in any manner to our previous request. Since the requirement of the Act is clear, and since you have elected not to respond to our previous request, I can find no alternative but to assume you will not comply with the Act and will not provide subscriber list information to us. We wish this were not the case and would prefer to develop an agreement between our two companies. If my assumption is incorrect, we would still like to confirm arrangements for you to provide your subscriber list information as required by the Act. Please contact me so we might discuss the matter.

We request that you please sign the enclosed agreement and return it promptly. Should you have concerns about the agreement, but you are willing to provide your subscriber list information on a timely and unbundled basis, please describe specifically, in writing, the rates, terms and conditions under which you are willing to provide the information. If you have questions or believe we can agree on modifications to the proposed agreement, please contact me.

If we do not hear from you within two weeks, I'm afraid we will have no choice but to proceed as though my assumption is correct and interpret your decision not to respond as confirmation of refusal to comply with the Act.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Very Truly Yours,

Randall Rings
General Counsel

i;\iegai\witepsgs\corresp\follwup.doc

McCloud * USA Publishing

\$0.45

TOP OFFENDERS

I. SMALLER

3.

TDS (many-approx.20,000) (CDK)

1.	Van Horne Coop. (CR-643) Don Whipple/Kulik	\$0.75					
2.	Manawa (Waup-2,205) Thomas Squires	\$0.75 (up from .50)					
3,	Rockwell (M.C1,113) David Kruckenberg	no resp. (was.50)					
4.	Winnebago (M.C5,944) Kenneth Lein	\$0.85 (up from .50)					
5,	Luck (R.L2,158) John Klatt	\$0.75					
6.	Swisher (CR-710) Gary Schropp [negoatiations pending]	\$0.75 (up from .50)					
7 .	Clear Lake (WI) Mark Anderson (R.L)	\$0.75					
8.	[others @ \$0.75? and @ \$0.60?]						
9.	Steelville (MO) Bill Kehr (FtLW-4,000)	\$0.45 + \$500(=+.12)					
10.	South Slope (CR-7,511) Francis Kahle	\$0.50					
II. LARGER							
1.	PTI (many-approx.50,000)	\$0.75					
2.	Rhinelander (Rhine-21,995)	\$0.75 + extras					



TEL CO	CONTACT NAME	CITY/STATE # OF LISTINGS	
Barnes City Coop Tel Co	Teri Johnson	Barnes City, Ia	
Belmont Tel Co-Madison, Wi	Richard Kiesling	Madison, Wi	
Bijou Tel Coop Assoc	John Scott	Byers, Co	
Brooklyn Mutual Tel Co	William Kelley	Brooklyn, Ia 1465	
Camden Tel Co		Camden, In	
Clements Tel Co	Mildred Bean	Redwood Falls, Mn 140	
Cooperative Tel Exchg-Stan	William Blough	Stanhope, Ia	
Cumberland Tel Co	Bruce Rogers	Cumberland, la	
Dickeyville Tel Corp	Edward Neckvatal	Lancaster, Wi	
Dunkerton Tel Coop	Doug McConnelee	Dunkerton, Ia	
Ellsworth Coop Tel Assn	Thomas Ellwood	Ellsworth, Ia	
Farmers Mutual Tel Co	Russell Rock	Jesup, Ia	
Goldfield Tel Co	Darrell Seaba	Goldfield, Ia 586	
Hanson County Tel Co	Lanford Johnson	Alexandria, Sd 447	
Kendall Tel Co	Richard Martin	Kendall. Wi	
Manilla Tel Co	Jerald Bieker	Manilla, Ia	
Miles Coop Tel Assn	Don Bales	Milcs, Ia 688	
Miller Tel Co	Kobert Prince	Miller, Mo	
Nemont Tel Coop	Richard Thornson	Scobey, Mt	
Northern Iowa Tel Co	Ross Vernon	Sioux Center, Ia 2496	
Nucle-Neturite Tel-Co	Norman W. Tomlison	Nucley Co	
Peetz Coop Tel Co	Jerry Henderson	Peetz, Co	

rulaski-White Rural Tel Co

⊎0/91/155 11.→5 000004-010

Ed Drake

Radcliffe, Ia

Star City, In

Redwood County Tel Co

Steven Chambers

Redwood Falls, Mn

Rockwell Coop Tel Assn

David Kruckenberg

Garry MacCormack

Royal, Ia

1286

Royal Tel Co

Radcliffe Tel Co

Emmertt Doyle

Royal, Ia

401

Rye Tel Co

Dale Schaefer

Odebolt, Ia

Sacred Heart Tel Co

Sac County Mutual Tel Co

Wesley Hanson

Clara City, Mn

Colorado City, Co

Schaller Tel Co

Steve Reimers

Schaller, Ia

Stratford Mutual Tel Co

Gary Davis

Stratford, Ia

Webb-Dickens Tel Corp

Ross Vernon

Sioux Center, Ia

Winthrop Tel Co

Paul Larson

Franklin, Mn

Wittenberg Tel Co

Ludwig Landowski

Wittenberg, Wi

Woodstock Tel Co

Kenneth Knuth

Ruthton, Mn

Zumbrota Tel Co

Susan Anderson

Zumbrota, Mn

1

.

•

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (PROPOSED AMENDMENTS of 6/11/96 & 9/30/96) ACCEPTED 07 16, 97 REGULATIONS FOR COMPETITION IN THE LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS MARKET

SECTION 101. Definitions

38. Subscriber List Information - any information (a) identifying the listed names of subscribers of a TSP and such subscribers' telephone numbers, addresses, or primary advertising classifications (as such classifications are assigned at the time of the establishment of such service), or any combination of such listed names, numbers, addresses, or classifications, and (b) that the TSP or an affiliate has published, caused to be published, or accepted for publication in any directory format.

(BRI and Comm. Dixon proposed this amendment. The definition is practically identical to the one provided in section 222(f) of the 1996 Act. In the Act, the word "carrier" is used instead of TSP and BRI had suggested the words "ILEC or a CLEC" instead of the word "carrier.")

[The above amendment will require the amendment of the numbers for current definitions 38-39, to reflect the numbering 39-40].

41 Telecommunications Directory Publisher (TDP) - any person or entity, including affiliates of an ILEC, engaged in the business of publishing subscriber list information, in any format.

(BRI and Comm. Dixon proposed this amendment. The word "telecommunications" was used instead of "telephone" for consistency purposes.)

[With the addition of this definition and the prior renumbering, the number for current definitions 40-46, will require an amendment to reflect the new numbering sequence, 42-48.]

SEE ITEM "K"

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (AS AMENDED 10/16/96)

REGULATIONS FOR COMPETITION IN THE LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS MARKET

PREAMBLE

The Louisiana Public Service Commission hereby promulgates the following regulations (the "Regulations") to foster the transition from monopoly to competitive local telecommunications markets in Louisiana. The Commission imposes these Regulations for competition within local service areas in order to encourage competitive entry, preserve and advance universal service, protect the public safety and welfare, ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services, and safeguard the rights of consumers while ensuring that the rates charged and services rendered by telecommunications services providers are just and reasonable.

The Commission recognizes that, given current local telecommunications markets, competition in every segment of these markets will take time to develop. It is likely that the introduction of competitive services will occur asymmetrically with new entrants initially targeting high volume, heavily populated urban areas, and other selected high-profit areas, and that, therefore, the benefits resulting from competition will be seen first in those areas. However, it is the policy of the Commission that all Louisiana consumers should benefit from competition. Although a limited exemption is proposed for incumbent local exchange carriers with 100,000 access lines or less in Louisiana, the Commission encourages competition throughout Louisiana.

These Regulations are designed to ensure that Louisiana consumers in the aggregate benefit from competition. The Commission grants telecommunications services providers the opportunity to compete in local telecommunications markets under the condition that the consumers of Louisiana benefit by having greater choices among telecommunications products, prices and providers. Through the development of effective competition, which promotes the accessability of new and innovative services at non-discriminatory prices consumers can and are willing to pay, and which results in wider deployment of existing services at competitive prices, the public interest will be promoted.

listings, "Yellow Pages" listings, "Blue Pages" government listings, and directory assistance databases associated with the areas covered by such publications in which the CLECs provide local telecommunications services either through resale or its own facilities. CLEC customers requesting to be omitted from such directories shall be omitted.

- H. CLECs shall provide to the ILEC (including ILEC affiliate) publishing "White Pages", "Yellow Pages", and "Blue Pages" directories the names, addresses and telephone numbers of all CLEC customers that do not wish to be omitted from such directories. The entries of CLEC customers in ILEC directories shall be interspersed alphabetically among the entries of the ILEC customers and shall be no different in style, size or format than the entries of the ILEC customers.
- 1. ILECs shall, upon request of a CLEC, provide White, Yellow and Blue Pages directories to CLECs' customers.
- J. ILECs and CLECs providing local telecommunications services shall provide subscriber list information gathered in their capacities as local telecommunications services providers on a timely and unbundled basis, under nondiscriminatory and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions, to any person or entity (including TSPs and TDPs) for the purpose of publishing directories in any format.
- K. ILECs and CLECs providing local telecommunications services shall provide the names and addresses of non-published or non-listed subscribers gathered in their capacities as local telecommunications services providers on a timely and unbundled basis, under nondiscriminatory and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions, to any person or entity (including TSPs and TDPs) for the purpose of directory delivery.
- L. TSPs shall allow nondiscriminatory access to their poles by other TSPs for pole attachments pursuant to Commission General Order dated December 17, 1984.
- M. TSPs shall allow nondiscriminatory access to their conduits and rights-of-way by other TSPs for the provisioning of local telecommunications services.

SECTION 1101. Resale

- A. To encourage and promote competition in the local telecommunications markets, all facilities based TSPs shall make unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services available for resale to other TSPs on a nondiscriminatory basis.
- B. No facilities based TSP may impose any restrictions on the resale of its unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services provided that resale is of the same class of service and category of customer.